



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/829,600	04/09/2001	Steven E. Barile	42390P9913	7945
7.	590 04/28/2003			
Charles A. Mirho BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, LLP 7th Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025			EXAMINER	
			ALAUBAIDI, HAYTHIM J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2171	
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2003	ſΔ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			ku		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/829,600	BARILE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Haythim J. Alaubaidi	2171		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the main dispatch term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt- iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	<u> 19 April 2001</u> .			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho	Irawn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•		
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers	d/or election requirement.			
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.			
10)🖾 -	The drawing(s) filed on 09 April 2001 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected	to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) 🔲 🖯	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	pplication No		
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International see the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-		
	acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	·			
а) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has be	een received.		
Attachmen	•	, , ,			
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/829,600 Page 2

Art Unit: 2171

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-26, are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7-19 and 21-25, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harry W. Morris (U.S. Patent No. 6,496,851 and Morris hereinafter).

Regarding Claims 1-2, 8-9, 15-16 and 21-22 Morris discloses"

receiving a dedication¹ from a first user (Figure No. 3, Element No. 301; see also Col 3, Lines 47-50, i.e. *A protocol, referred to as the "Rendezvous" protocol, is designed to facilitate* interactions between users of a computer network by transmitting a first user's proposal for an activity to another user; see also Col 8, Line 18)

Morris reference discloses the claimed subject matter set forth above, except it does not explicitly indicate the applying of the dedication to a play list of a second user feature. However the reference does teach applying instant messages to an applet that

¹ The "dedication" is being interpreted to be for example like a request to chat with a second user or a request to transfer a file to a second user or even a request to play a game with a second user.

Art Unit: 2171

could be considered as a play list² (Figure No. 2³; see also Figure NO's 10 and 11; see also Col 5, Lines 58-59, i.e. *send IMs back and forth, and transfer files*). Given the intended broad application of the Morris system, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Morris by using the chat rooms as play lists, one way to do this is through the use of audio or voice in the chat room (play list) as it was indicated by Morris (Col 4, Lines 24-25); another reason why a "play list" could be interpreted as an applet of a chat room is due to the capability of playing games through these chat rooms (play list), see Col 4, Line 25, i.e. play an online game; another reason would be by interpreting the "file transfer capability" mentioned in Morris Col 4, Line 26 to actually us an audio or video file (like it is mentioned in the instant application in Claim 3 and 4).

Regarding Claims 3-4, Morris discloses audio and/or video (Col 9, Lines 58-64).

Regarding Claims 5, Morris discloses text messages (Figure No. 11).

Regarding Claims 7, Morris discloses wherein the first and second users are online (Col 5, Lines 17-23).

² The "play list" in the instant application can be interpreted to be the applet where the users are chatting or even playing a game (see Figure No. 2), please see Col 4, Lines 24-28, i.e. <u>Typical activities include exchanging voice messages</u>, playing an online game, finding a route from one client computer to another, <u>transferring files</u>, <u>direct instant messaging</u>, <u>exchanging avatars</u>, <u>participating in a chat room</u>, <u>or engaging in collaborative project development</u>.

³ The Element No. 204 is showing different users chatting with each other, which in other words, the system is applying the chat message or the text or the voice or even a transfer file (dedicating) to the applet (play list).

Application/Control Number: 09/829,600 Page 4

Art Unit: 2171

4. Claims 6, 20 and 26, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harry W. Morris (U.S. Patent No. 6,496,851 and Morris hereinafter) in view of Stephen Sutton (U.S. Patent No. 6,539,354 and Sutton hereinafter).

Regarding Claims 6, 20 and 26, Morris reference discloses the claimed subject matter set forth above, except it does not explicitly indicate the step of converting text to audio. However Sutton discloses converting text to audio (Col 3, Lines 34-35, i.e. the text-only input is converted into a synthesized audio and visual speech output)⁴. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Morris with the teachings of Sutton to include such a well known software in order to increase the flexibility of the system and also to make it more user friendly; another reason would be to increase the amount of users who can actually make use of the system, such as the visually challenged people.

Other Prior Art Made of Record

5. a. Takahisa et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,577,266) discloses a broadcast system with associated data capabilities.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

⁴ The Examiner would like to direct the Applicant's attention to the fact that this converting feature is well know in the art, including the Patent No. 6,448,485 awarded to the same inventor and the same assignee of the current Application.

Art Unit: 2171

Points of Contact

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Haythim J. Alaubaidi whose telephone number is (703) 305-1950. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic, can be reached on (703) 308-1436.

Any response to this office action should be mailed to:

The Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231 or telefax at our fax number (703) 746-7238 or (703) 746-7239 or (703) 746-7240.

Hand-delivered response should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, 6th Floor Receptionist, Arlington, Virginia. 22202.

Haythim J. Alaubaidi

Haythim J. Alaubaidi Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100 April 18, 2003

> SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100